## November 8, 2011 General Election Official Canvass Certification Data

## FINAL RESULTS

Final Election Results for the November 8, 2011 General Election are attached in the "Election Summary" report and "Write-In Votes Cast" report.

NOTE: The Cross-Over County Results from Geauga, Lorain and Summit are also attached.

| Geauga | Hunting Valley Mayor, Clerk and Council; Chagrin Falls EVSD Board of Education Member |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lorain | Olmsted Falls CSD Board of Ed Member and Tax Levy; Strongsville CSD Board of Ed and Tax Levy |
| Summit | Cuyahoga Valley JVSD Tax Levy |

## SUMMARY OF OFFICIAL CANVASS

There were a total of 384,196 ballots tabulated for the November 8, 2011 General Election. Below is a breakdown of the ballots counted by category and a percentage of the total vote by category.

| Category | Ballots Cast | \% of Total Ballots Counted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Election Day | 241,633 | $62.89 \%$ |
| Absentee | 130,413 | $33.94 \%$ |
| Provisional | 11,288 | $2.94 \%$ |

\% of Total Ballots Cast


## ABSENTEE / VOTE BY MAIL

The Cuyahoga County Board of Elections received a total of 148,046 valid Absentee/Vote by Mail applications. Of those requests, 133,123 ballots were returned ( $89.92 \%$ ). The Ballot Department tabulated 131,135 Absentee / Vote by Mail Ballots ( $88.57 \%$ ). There were 1,988 ballots challenged in this election resulting in a challenge rate of $1.49^{*}$ for this election. Details of the challenges are below.
*The Absentee Ballot Challenge Rate is $0.61 \%$ when the Absentee Ballots postmarked after the deadline are excluded.

| Absentee Challenge Category | \# Challenged | \% of Total Challenged Absentee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Returned Too Late* | 1,186 | $59.66 \%$ |
| ID Envelope Not Returned | 254 | $12.78 \%$ |
| No Signature on ID Envelope | 243 | $12.22 \%$ |
| No Identification | 115 | $5.78 \%$ |
| ID Envelope Not Completed | 92 | $4.63 \%$ |
| No Printed Name on ID Envelope | 39 | $1.96 \%$ |
| Ballot Not in ID Envelope | 21 | $1.06 \%$ |
| No Ballot Returned with ID Envelope | 10 | $0.50 \%$ |
| Returned to Polling Location | 10 | $0.50 \%$ |
| Returned Ballot to Library | 8 | $0.40 \%$ |
| Registration Address Does Not Match ID Envelope | 4 | $0.20 \%$ |
| Invalid Signature on ID Envelope | 3 | $0.15 \%$ |
| No Stub or Stub Removed | 1 | $0.05 \%$ |
| Printed Signature | 1 | $0.05 \%$ |
| Non-County Resident | 1,988 | $0.05 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |


| Absentee Data |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Ballots - COUNTED | 384,196 |
| Absentee Ballots - REQUESTED | 148,046 |
| Absentee Ballots - RETURNED | 133,123 |
| Absentee Ballots - COUNTED | 131,135 |
| Absentee Ballots - CHALLENGED | 2,226 |
| Challenges - CORRECTED | 238 |
| Challenges - NOT CORRECTED | 1,988 |
| Challenge - LATE RETURN | 34.186 |
| \% Counted of All Ballots Counted | $89.92 \%$ |
| \% Returned of Absentee Ballots Requested | $88.58 \%$ |
| \% Counted of Absentee Ballots Requested | $1.67 \%$ |
| \% Challenged of Absentee Ballots Requested | $1.49 \%$ |
| \% Remaining Challenges of Absentee Ballots Returned | $0.61 \%$ |
| \% Challenged Not Including Late | $10.69 \%$ |
| \% Corrected of All Challenges | $22.88 \%$ |
| \% Corrected Not Including Late | $0.89 \%$ |
| \% Late of All Absentee Ballots Returned | $59.66 \%$ |
| \% Late of All Remaining Challenges |  |

## \% of Total Challenged Absentee



## PROVISIONAL VOTING SUMMARY

A total of 13,336 Provisional Ballots were cast In-House and on Election Day. Of those ballots, 11,288 (84.64\%) were valid and tabulated by the Ballot Department. There were 2,048 rejected Provisional Ballots resulting in a $15.36 \%^{*}$ rejection rate for this election. Details of the rejections are below.

* The Provisional Ballot Rejection Rate is $14.76 \%$ when Provisional Voters who have already cast an Absentee Ballot are excluded.

| Reason for Rejection | \# Rejected | \% of Total Provisional Rejections |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wrong Precinct** | 991 | $48.39 \%$ |
| Not Registered | 768 | $37.50 \%$ |
| Voted Absentee* | 94 | $4.59 \%$ |
| No Signature | 74 | $3.61 \%$ |
| No Printed Name | 71 | $3.47 \%$ |
| Missing Identification | 15 | $0.73 \%$ |
| Incorrect Address | 12 | $0.59 \%$ |
| Board Review Required | 9 | $0.44 \%$ |
| Out of County | 7 | $0.34 \%$ |
| Signature Does Not Match Registration Record | 6 | $0.29 \%$ |
| Signature in Wrong Place on Provisional Envelope | 1 | $0.05 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 4 8}$ |  |

** 499 provisional ballots (3.74\%) rejected for being cast in the wrong precinct, were cast in the correct location.

| Provisional Data |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Provisional Ballots - CAST | 13,336 |
| Provisional Ballots - VALID | 11,288 |
| Provisional Ballots - REJECTED | 2,048 |
| Rejected - ABSENTEE VOTERS | 94 |
| Rejected - NOT INCLUDING ABSENTEE VOTERS | 1,954 |
| Rejected - WRONG PRECINCT (Total) | 991 |
| Rejected - WRONG PRECINCT/CORRECT LOCATION | 499 |
| \% VALID of All Provisional Ballots Cast | $84.64 \%$ |
| \% REJECTED of All Provisional Ballots Cast | $15.36 \%$ |
| \% REJECTED Not Including Absentee Voters | $14.76 \%$ |
| \% Wrong Precinct (Total) of All Provisional Ballots Cast | $7.43 \%$ |
| \% Wrong Precinct/Correct Location of All Provisional Ballots Cast | $3.74 \%$ |

## \% of Total Provisional Rejections



## BALLOT REMAKES

During the election, 1,236 ballots were processed in accordance with the CCBOE Remake Procedure (approved by the Board on August 4, 2010). Of those, 839 ballots were processed during the Unofficial Canvass; the remaining 397 were processed during the Official Canvass. The following is a breakdown by category:

| Reason for Remake | Number of Remakes | \% of Total Remakes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 - Ripped or torn ballot. | 357 | $28.88 \%$ |
| 3 - Crossed out one choice. | 221 | $17.88 \%$ |
| 4 - Tried to erase one choice. | 83 | $6.72 \%$ |
| 5 - A dot or resting mark occurs on one contest. <br> (Run As Is) | 266 | $21.52 \%$ |
| 6 - Used whiteout. | 18 | $1.46 \%$ |
| 7 - Dash marks are made outside of the ovals and <br> nothing is inside of the ovals. | 2 | $0.24 \%$ |
| 8 - Majority of ovals marked with "X", check mark <br> or other mark. | 16 | $1.29 \%$ |
| 9 - Entire response crossed out. (Run As Is) | 2 | $0.16 \%$ |
| 10 - Used only (or majority of) circles, "x" or other <br> marks. | 11 | $0.89 \%$ |
| 11 - Used words instead of filling in ovals. | 2 | $15.78 \%$ |
| 12 - Used green ink. | 15 | $0.16 \%$ |
| 14 - Ink transferred when ballot was folded. | 2 | $1.21 \%$ |
| 15 - Miscellaneous writing on ballot. (Run As Is) | 27 | $0.16 \%$ |
| 16 - Circle oval rather than filling it in. | 18 | $2.18 \%$ |
| MOVE Act |  | $1.46 \%$ |


| Stage of Election | Number of Ballots Remade | \% of Total Remakes |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Unofficial | 839 | $67.88 \%$ |
| Official | 397 | $32.12 \%$ |

## OVER VOTES

There were a total of 2,795 over votes recorded resulting in a $0.086 \%$ over vote rate for the election. The exact number of over voted ballots is unknown as the Unity system reports over votes on a per-contest basis, thus a ballot containing multiple over votes is recorded multiple times. Details of the over votes by contest are below:

A detailed listing of over votes cast by contest is attached.

## POLL BOOK JUSTIFICATION AND BALLOT RECONCILIATION

All 1,066 precincts were involved in this election. The number of signatures in the Poll Books matches the number of Ballots Cast in 883 ( $82.83 \%$ ) precincts. Below is a breakdown of the range of discrepancies between than number of signatures in the poll books and the number of ballots cast on Election Day.

| Number of Precincts | Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 5 Discrepancies |
| 9 | 4 Discrepancies |
| 15 | 3 Discrepancies |
| 44 | 2 Discrepancies |
| 113 | 1 Discrepancy |
| TOTAL $=183$ |  |

A review of the ballots cast on Election Day was completed for all of the precincts with a discrepancy.

We will begin our Ballot Reconciliation process later this week. We will report the findings of this analysis at our next scheduled Board Meeting.

## RECOUNTS

The following five (5) contests result in a margin of victory that is less than one half of one percent - the threshold to conduct an automatic recount. A schedule of dates and times for automatic recounts will be released tomorrow.

| Contest | Precincts |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bedford Heights Charter Review Commission | 10 |
| Cleveland Heights Council | 37 |
| Mayfield Heights Council - UTE 12/31/2013 | 15 |
| University Heights Council at Large | 10 |
| 4-Bedford City School District - Tax Levy (Additional) | 31 |

The deadline to file for a requested recount is Monday, December $5^{\text {th }}$ at 4:30 PM.

## AUDITS

The Post-Election Audit for the November 8, 2011 General Election will begin the day following the completion of all requested recounts. If there are not any requested recounts, we will begin the Post-Election Audit on Monday, December $12^{\text {th }}$ at 9:30 AM.

## HISTORICAL DATA FOR MUNICIPAL GENERAL ELECTIONS

The following data sets and graphs include comparisons related to election information and voting method distributions (ballot categories).

|  | November 6, 2007 <br> General | November 3, 2009 <br> General | November 8, 2011 <br> General |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Precincts | 1,436 | 1,069 | 1,066 |
| Registered Voters | $1,057,374$ | 984,397 | 885,563 |
| Ballot Cast - Counted | 204,379 | 388,851 | 384,196 |
| Election Day | 172,604 | 205,785 | 241,633 |
| Absentee | 28,596 | 173,475 | 130,413 |
| Provisional | 3,179 | 9,591 | 11,288 |
| Post Absentee | Included in Absentee | Included in Absentee | 722 |
| Post Election Day | Included in Election Day | Included in Election Day | 140 |
| Voter Turnout | $19.33 \%$ | $39.50 \%$ | $43.38 \%$ |

Voter Turnout


|  | November 6, 2007 <br> General | November 3, 2009 <br> General | November 8, 2011 <br> General |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Election Day | $84.45 \%$ | $52.92 \%$ | $62.93 \%$ |
| Absentee | $13.99 \%$ | $44.61 \%$ | $34.13 \%$ |
| Provisional | $1.56 \%$ | $2.47 \%$ | $2.94 \%$ |

## Election Day Voting Trend



## Absentee Voting Trend



## Provisional Voting Trend



## Absentee Data

|  | November 6, 2007 <br> General | November 3, 2009 <br> General | November 8,2011 <br> General |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL BALLOTS COUNTED | 204,379 | 388,851 | 384,196 |
| TOTAL ABSENTEE BALLOTS REQUESTED | 33,830 | 205,655 | 148,046 |
| TOTAL ABSENTEE BALLOTS RETURNED | 29,130 | 180,162 | 133,123 |
| TOTAL ABSENTEE BALLOTS COUNTED | 28,596 | 173,475 | 131,135 |
| TOTAL BALLOTS CHALLENGED | 920 | 7,144 | 2,226 |
| CHALLENGES CORRECTED | 386 | 457 | 238 |
| CHALLENGES NOT CORRECTED | 534 | 6,687 | 1,988 |
| ABSENTEE BALLOTS RETURNED LATE | 7 | 2,135 | 1,186 |
| \% COUNTED of All Ballots Counted | $13.99 \%$ | $44.61 \%$ | $34.13 \%$ |
| \% RETURNED of Absentee Ballots Requested | $86.11 \%$ | $87.60 \%$ | $89.92 \%$ |
| \% COUNTED of Absentee Ballots Returned | $98.17 \%$ | $96.29 \%$ | $98.51 \%$ |
| \% CHALLENGED of Absentee Ballots Returned | $1.83 \%$ | $3.71 \%$ | $1.49 \%$ |
| \% CHALLENGED Not Including Late | $1.81 \%$ | $2.53 \%$ | $0.61 \%$ |
| \% CORRECTED of All Challenges | $41.96 \%$ | $6.40 \%$ | $10.69 \%$ |
| \% CORRECTED Not Including Late | $42.28 \%$ | $9.12 \%$ | $22.88 \%$ |
| \% LATE of Absentee Ballots Returned | $0.02 \%$ | $1.19 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ |
| \% LATE of All Remaining Challenges | $1.31 \%$ | $31.93 \%$ | $59.66 \%$ |

## Challenge Rate



Challenge Rate (Excluding Late)


November 6, 2007 General
November 3, 2009 General November 8, 2011 General

Provisional Data

|  | November 6, 2007 <br> General | November 3, 2009 <br> General | November 8, 2011 <br> General |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOTAL PROVISIONAL BALLOTS CAST | 3,990 | 11,111 | 13,336 |
| TOTAL BALLOTS VALID | 3,179 | 9,591 | 11,288 |
| TOTAL REJECTED | 811 | 1,520 | 2,048 |
| REJECTED Absentee Voters | 5 | 97 | 94 |
| REJECTED Not Including Absentee Voters | 806 | 1,423 | 1,954 |
| WRONG PRECINCT (Total) | not recorded | 831 | 991 |
| WRONG PRECINCT/CORRECT LOCATION | not recorded | 351 | 499 |
| \% Valid | $79.67 \%$ | $86.32 \%$ | $84.64 \%$ |
| \% Rejected | $20.33 \%$ | $13.68 \%$ | $15.36 \%$ |
| \% Rejected Not Including Absentee Voters | $20.23 \%$ | $12.92 \%$ | $14.76 \%$ |
| \% Wrong Precinct (Total) | not recorded | $7.48 \%$ | $7.43 \%$ |
| \% Wrong Precinct/Correct Location | not recorded | $3.16 \%$ | $3.74 \%$ |

## Rejection Rate



November 6, 2007 General
November 3, 2009 General
November 8, 2011 General

## Rejection Rate (Excluding Absentee Voters)



## Wrong Precinct Rejection Rate



November 6, 2007 General
November 3, 2009 General November 8, 2011 General

11 of 11

Over-Vote Analysis
November 8, 2011
General Election

| CONTEST | TOTAL VOTES | OVER VOTES | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BEREA MAYOR | 6,314 | 6 | 0.10\% |
| BEREA COUNCIL AT LARGE | 7,580 | 2 | 0.03\% |
| BROOK PARK COUNCIL AT LARGE | 12,131 | 45 | 0.37\% |
| BROOK PARK COUNCIL WARD 02 | 1,458 | 1 | 0.07\% |
| PARMA COUNCIL WARD 01 | 2,194 | 3 | 0.14\% |
| PARMA COUNCIL WARD 03 | 2,106 | 8 | 0.38\% |
| PARMA COUNCIL WARD 04 | 1,981 | 6 | 0.30\% |
| PARMA COUNCIL WARD 05 | 2,958 | 9 | 0.30\% |
| PARMA COUNCIL WARD 06 | 2,885 | 7 | 0.24\% |
| PARMA COUNCIL WARD 07 | 2,648 | 10 | 0.38\% |
| ROCKY RIVER COUNCIL AT LARGE | 14,621 | 81 | 0.55\% |
| ROCKY RIVER COUNCIL WARD 03 | 1,599 | 1 | 0.06\% |
| ROCKY RIVER COUNCIL WARD 04 | 1,262 | 2 | 0.16\% |
| CLEVELAND MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/2/2012 | 67,112 | 104 | 0.15\% |
| CLEVELAND MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/3/2012 | 62,375 | 34 | 0.05\% |
| CLEVELAND MUNI COURT CLERK FTC 1/1/2012 | 64,425 | 55 | 0.09\% |
| EAST CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/1/2012 | 4,349 | 4 | 0.09\% |
| EUCLID MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/1/2012 | 12,882 | 11 | 0.09\% |
| SOUTH EUCLID MUNI CRT JUDGE FTC 1/1/2012 | 7,473 | 7 | 0.09\% |
| BEDFORD HTS MAYOR | 3,428 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| BROOKLYN MAYOR | 3,693 | 5 | 0.14\% |
| EUCLID MAYOR | 14,135 | 9 | 0.06\% |
| FAIRVIEW PARK MAYOR | 6,613 | 2 | 0.03\% |
| HIGHLAND HTS MAYOR | 3,617 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| INDEPENDENCE MAYOR | 3,492 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| MAPLE HTS MAYOR | 6,768 | 5 | 0.07\% |
| NORTH ROYALTON MAYOR | 10,269 | 5 | 0.05\% |
| PEPPER PIKE MAYOR | 2,702 | 1 | 0.04\% |
| SEVEN HILLS MAYOR | 5,175 | 1 | 0.02\% |
| SOUTH EUCLID MAYOR | 7,531 | 2 | 0.03\% |
| WARRENSVILLE HTS MAYOR | 4,085 | 6 | 0.15\% |
| MAPLE HTS PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL | 5,832 | 4 | 0.07\% |
| MIDDLEBURG HTS PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL | 4,785 | 1 | 0.02\% |
| NORTH ROYALTON PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL | 9,590 | 3 | 0.03\% |
| BEACHWOOD COUNCIL AT LARGE | 12,351 | 28 | 0.23\% |
| BRATENAHL VILLAGE COUNCIL | 1,235 | 4 | 0.32\% |
| BRECKSVILLE COUNCIL AT LARGE | 14,650 | 16 | 0.11\% |
| BROADVIEW HTS COUNCIL AT LARGE | 14,069 | 6 | 0.04\% |
| BROOKLYN COUNCIL AT LARGE | 7,085 | 6 | 0.08\% |
| CHAGRIN FALLS VILLAGE COUNCIL | 7121 | 28 | 0.39\% |
| CLEVE HTS COUNCIL | 32,874 | 99 | 0.30\% |
| GATES MILLS VILLAGE COUNCIL | 2998 | 3 | 0.10\% |
| HIGHLAND HTS COUNCIL AT LARGE | 7,111 | 12 | 0.17\% |
| HIGHLAND HILLS VILLAGE COUNCIL | 826 | 16 | 1.94\% |

Over-Vote Analysis
November 8, 2011
General Election

| CONTEST | TOTAL VOTES | OVER VOTES | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDEPENDENCE COUNCIL AT LARGE | 17,526 | 49 | 0.28\% |
| LAKEWOOD COUNCIL AT LARGE UTE 12/31/2013 | 9,675 | 12 | 0.12\% |
| MAYFIELD HTS COUNCIL | 11,503 | 21 | 0.18\% |
| MAYFIELD HTS COUNCIL UTE 12/31/2013 | 4,737 | 19 | 0.40\% |
| MAYFIELD VILLAGE COUNCIL AT LARGE | 1,185 | 1 | 0.08\% |
| MORELAND HILLS VILLAGE COUNCIL | 2,786 | 9 | 0.32\% |
| MIDDLEBURG HTS COUNCIL AT LARGE | 7,945 | 4 | 0.05\% |
| OLMSTED TWP TRUSTEE | 3,958 | 3 | 0.08\% |
| ORANGE VILLAGE MEMBER OF COUNCIL | 3747 | 3 | 0.08\% |
| PARMA HTS COUNCIL AT LARGE | 10,640 | 6 | 0.06\% |
| PEPPER PIKE COUNCIL | 6,392 | 3 | 0.05\% |
| SEVEN HILLS COUNCIL AT LARGE | 10,054 | 6 | 0.06\% |
| SHAKER HTS COUNCIL | 27,774 | 56 | 0.20\% |
| SOUTH EUCLID COUNCIL AT LARGE | 17,180 | 27 | 0.16\% |
| UNIVERSITY HTS COUNCIL AT LARGE | 11,410 | 28 | 0.25\% |
| WALTON HILLS VILLAGE COUNCIL | 2154 | 4 | 0.19\% |
| WOODMERE VILLAGE COUNCIL | 722 | 4 | 0.55\% |
| BROADVIEW HTS COUNCIL WARD 01 | 1,304 | 3 | 0.23\% |
| BROADVIEW HTS COUNCIL WARD 02 | 1,846 | 1 | 0.05\% |
| EAST CLEVELAND COUNCIL WARD 04 | 1,695 | 4 | 0.24\% |
| GARFIELD HTS COUNCIL WARD 03 | 874 | 2 | 0.23\% |
| GARFIELD HTS COUNCIL WARD 04 | 1,133 | 1 | 0.09\% |
| GARFIELD HTS COUNCIL WARD 05 | 1,032 | 1 | 0.10\% |
| GARFIELD HTS COUNCIL WARD 07 | 1,334 | 1 | 0.07\% |
| LAKEWOOD COUNCIL WARD 01 | 2,547 | 1 | 0.04\% |
| MAPLE HTS COUNCIL DISTRICT 04 | 996 | 3 | 0.30\% |
| MAPLE HTS COUNCIL DISTRICT 05 | 819 | 1 | 0.12\% |
| MAPLE HTS COUNCIL DISTRICT 07 | 1,142 | 1 | 0.09\% |
| NORTH OLM COUNCIL WARD 03 UTE 12/31/2013 | 2,978 | 2 | 0.07\% |
| NORTH ROYALTON COUNCIL WARD 05 | 1,527 | 1 | 0.07\% |
| PARMA HTS COUNCIL WARD 02 | 1,368 | 1 | 0.07\% |
| PARMA HTS COUNCIL WARD 03 | 1,307 | 3 | 0.23\% |
| PARMA HTS COUNCIL WARD 04 | 1,374 | 3 | 0.22\% |
| SEVEN HILLS COUNCIL WARD 04 | 1,210 | 1 | 0.08\% |
| STRONGSVILLE COUNCIL WARD 02 | 4,105 | 5 | 0.12\% |
| STRONGSVILLE COUNCIL WARD 04 | 3,510 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| BEDFORD HTS CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION | 18,200 | 72 | 0.40\% |
| BAY VILLAGE BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 8,473 | 14 | 0.17\% |
| BEACHWOOD BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 6,459 | 12 | 0.19\% |
| BEDFORD BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 13,784 | 22 | 0.16\% |
| BRECKSVILLE-BROADVIEW HTS BRD OF ED MEM | 20,375 | 78 | 0.38\% |
| CHAGRIN FALLS BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 2,930 | 2 | 0.07\% |
| EAST CLEVELAND BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 10,430 | 18 | 0.17\% |
| EUCLID BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 12,393 | 39 | 0.31\% |


| CONTEST | TOTAL VOTES | OVER VOTES | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAKEWOOD BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 24,760 | 78 | 0.32\% |
| MAPLE HTS BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 9,650 | 16 | 0.17\% |
| NORTH OLMSTED BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 12,621 | 22 | 0.17\% |
| NORTH ROYALTON BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 23,649 | 42 | 0.18\% |
| OLMSTED FALLS BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 8,201 | 6 | 0.07\% |
| PARMA BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 41,696 | 134 | 0.32\% |
| RICHMOND HTS BRD ED MEMBER | 3,750 | 4 | 0.11\% |
| RICHMOND HTS BRD ED MEM UTE 12/31/2013 | 2,593 | 3 | 0.12\% |
| SHAKER HTS BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 15,854 | 12 | 0.08\% |
| SOLON BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 19,311 | 21 | 0.11\% |
| SOUTH EUCLID/LYNDHURST BOARD OF ED MEM | 12,255 | 30 | 0.24\% |
| STRONGSVILLE BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 30,105 | 27 | 0.09\% |
| WARRENSVILLE HTS BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 10,408 | 48 | 0.46\% |
| WESTLAKE BOARD OF ED MEMBER | 25,580 | 30 | 0.12\% |
| 1-STATE ISSUE-CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT | 339,264 | 265 | 0.08\% |
| 2-STATE ISSUE-REFERENDUM | 373,743 | 302 | 0.08\% |
| 3-STATE ISSUE-CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT | 358,591 | 338 | 0.09\% |
| 4-BEDFORD CSD-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 9,734 | 3 | 0.03\% |
| 5-BEREA CSD-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 18,078 | 12 | 0.07\% |
| 6-CLEVE HTS-UNIV HTS CSD-TAX LEVY | 18,288 | 13 | 0.07\% |
| 8-CUYAHOGA VAL CAREER CENTER-TAX LEVY | 36,648 | 17 | 0.05\% |
| 9-EUCLID CSD-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 14,156 | 11 | 0.08\% |
| 10-GARFIELD HTS CSD-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 7,469 | 6 | 0.08\% |
| 11-INDEPENDENCE LSD-TAX LEVY (RENEW) | 3,588 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 12-NORTH ROYALTON CSD-TAX LEVY (RENEW) | 12,940 | 6 | 0.05\% |
| 13-OLMSTED FALLS CSD-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 7,946 | 4 | 0.05\% |
| 14-ORANGE CSD-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 6,007 | 3 | 0.05\% |
| 15-STRONGSVILLE CSD-TAX LEVY (RENEW) | 17,398 | 5 | 0.03\% |
| 16-BAY VILLAGE-CHARTER AMENDMENT | 5,826 | 2 | 0.03\% |
| 17-BEREA-TAX LEVY (RENEW) | 5,981 | 2 | 0.03\% |
| 19-BEREA-CH AM-EXP APPROVAL LIMITS | 5,611 | 2 | 0.04\% |
| 20-BROADVIEW HTS-CHARTER AMENDMENT | 6,832 | 1 | 0.01\% |
| 23-BROOKLYN-CH AM-GENERAL PROV | 3,049 | 3 | 0.10\% |
| 24-BROOKLYN-CH AM-FINANCE DIRECTOR | 2,916 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 25-BROOKLYN-CH AM-BUILDING DEPT | 3,045 | 2 | 0.07\% |
| 26-BROOKLYN-CH AM-TERM AND DEF OF CH | 3,098 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 35-CHAGRIN FALLS ZONING REFERENDUM | 1,640 | 1 | 0.06\% |
| 36-CHAGRIN FALLS-CH AM-DUTIES | 1,352 | 1 | 0.07\% |
| 40-CLEVELAND 06-G-LOCAL OPTION | 190 | 1 | 0.53\% |
| 41-CLEVELAND 06-Q-LOCAL OPTION | 235 | 1 | 0.43\% |
| 42-CLEVELAND 06-V-LOCAL OPTION | 267 | 1 | 0.37\% |
| 49-EAST CLEVELAND-CH AM PHOTO | 4,398 | 7 | 0.16\% |
| 50-EAST CLEVELAND-CHARTER AMENDMENT | 4,204 | 3 | 0.07\% |
| 51-EUCLID 04-F-LOCAL OPTION | 270 | 1 | 0.37\% |

Over-Vote Analysis
November 8, 2011
General Election

| CONTEST | TOTAL VOTES | OVER VOTES | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54-FAIRVIEW PARK-TAX LEVY (RENEW) | 6,558 | 5 | 0.08\% |
| 55-GARFIELD HTS-CH AM-CH REVIEW COM | 7,489 | 1 | 0.01\% |
| 56-GARFIELD HTS-CH AM- PHOTO | 8,167 | 3 | 0.04\% |
| 62-HIGHLAND HTS-CH AM-COUNCIL EMPLOYMENT | 3,147 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 63-HIGHLAND HTS-CH AM-MAYOR EMPLOYMENT | 3,137 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 64-HIGHLAND HTS-CH AM-FINANCE DIRECTOR | 3,130 | 3 | 0.10\% |
| 65-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-EXERCISE \& INTERPRET | 10,846 | 2 | 0.02\% |
| 66-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-MAYOR'S INVESTIGATION | 10,550 | 8 | 0.08\% |
| 67-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-ACTING MAYOR | 11,262 | 6 | 0.05\% |
| 68-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-QUALIFICATIONS\&VACANCY | 11,235 | 1 | 0.01\% |
| 69-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-ORGANIZATION\&OPEN MTGS | 11,026 | 8 | 0.07\% |
| 70-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-PUBLICATION | 11,155 | 5 | 0.04\% |
| 71-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-DUTIES | 10,536 | 4 | 0.04\% |
| 72-LAKEWOOD-CH AM-RECONSTRUCTION | 11,231 | 3 | 0.03\% |
| 76-MAPLE HTS-CH AM-PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL | 6,098 | 2 | 0.03\% |
| 77-MAPLE HTS-CH AM-ASST PROSECUTOR | 6,115 | 5 | 0.08\% |
| 78-MAPLE HTS-CH AM-INIT, REF, RECALL | 5,443 | 1 | 0.02\% |
| 79-MAPLE HTS-CH AM-REPLACE MEMBER | 5,821 | 3 | 0.05\% |
| 82-MAYFIELD ZONING AMENDMENT | 1,310 | 1 | 0.08\% |
| 84-NORTH RANDALL-TAX LEVY (RENEW)-FIRE | 249 | 1 | 0.40\% |
| 86-ORANGE-CH AM-ARCHITECTURAL REV BOARD | 1,387 | 1 | 0.07\% |
| 90-RICHMOND HTS-CH AM-JOINT SERVICE | 3,390 | 1 | 0.03\% |
| 91-RICHMOND HTS-CH AM INCOME TAX | 3,414 | 4 | 0.12\% |
| 92-SEVEN HILLS-TAX LEVY (ADD) | 5,234 | 2 | 0.04\% |
| 93-SOLON-TAX LEVY (RENEW) | 8,225 | 4 | 0.05\% |
| 94-SOLON PROPOSED ORDINANCE | 8,191 | 3 | 0.04\% |
| 95-SOLON ZONING AM BY INIT PETITION | 8,273 | 3 | 0.04\% |
| 96-SOUTH EUCLID ZONING REFERENDUM | 7,988 | 3 | 0.04\% |
| 97-SOUTH EUCLID-CH AM (BY PETITION) | 7,823 | 4 | 0.05\% |
| 98-SOUTH EUCLID 04-A-LOCAL OPTION | 493 | 1 | 0.20\% |
| 99-WESTLAKE-CH AM | 11,084 | 4 | 0.04\% |
| 100-WESTLAKE 03-B-LOCAL OPTION | 281 | 1 | 0.36\% |
| 101-WESTLAKE 05-B-LOCAL OPTION | 398 | 1 | 0.25\% |
| 102-WOODMERE-CH AM | 204 | 1 | 0.49\% |
| TOTAL |  | 2795 |  |

