# November 3, 2015 General Election Amended Certification Data 

## AMENDED RESULTS

The amended results reflect the addition of three (3) complete ballots in the Provisional Category, determined to be valid by a majority Board vote, and the rectification of the Cleveland 08-E Election Day Category ballot total. Neither the votes contained on the additional Provisional ballots nor those on the Election Day ballots removed changed the outcome of any race. A detailed comparison of the Official Certification Results vs. the Amended Certification Results is included.

## SUMMARY OF AMENDED CANVASS

There were a total of 323,635 ballots tabulated for the November 3, 2015 General Election. Below is a breakdown of the ballots counted by category and a percentage of the total vote by category.

| Category | Ballots Cast | \% of Total Ballots Counted |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Election Day | 232,405 | $71.81 \%$ |  |
| Absentee | 83,281 | $25.73 \%$ |  |
| Provisional | 6,539 | $2.02 \%$ |  |
| Post Election Day | 143 | $0.04 \%$ |  |
| Post Absentee | 1,267 | $0.39 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

\% of Total Ballots Cast


## ABSENTEE / VOTE BY MAIL

The Cuyahoga County Board of Elections received a total of 95,560 valid Absentee/Vote by Mail applications. Of those requests, 86,472 ballots were returned ( $90.49 \%$ ). The Ballot Department tabulated 84,548 Absentee/Vote by Mail Ballots of the applications processed. There were 1,739 ballots challenged in this election resulting in a challenge rate of 2.01\%*. Details of the challenges are below.
*The Absentee Ballot Challenge Rate is $0.24 \%$ when the Absentee Ballots postmarked after the deadline are excluded.

| Absentee Challenge Category | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Challeng } \\ \text { ed }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { \% of Total } \\ \text { Challenge } \\ \text { d }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Abllots |  |  |$)$


|  | November 3, 2015 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Ballots Counted | 323,635 |
| Total Absentee Ballots Requested | 95,560 |
| Total Absentee Ballots Returned | 86,472 |
| Total Absentee Ballots Counted | 84,733 |
| Total Absentee Ballots Challenged | 2,118 |
| Total Absentee Challenges Correctable by 11-S | 562 |
| Total Absentee Challenges Corrected by 11-S | 379 |
| Remaining Challenges That Could Have Been Corrected by 11-S But Were Not | 183 |
| Absentee Ballots Returned Late | 1,532 |
| Total Absentee Challenges NOT Correctable by 11-S (including late) | 1,556 |
| Total Absentee Challenges Remaining | 1,739 |
| \% Absentee Ballots Counted of All Ballots Counted | 26.18\% |
| \% Returned of Absentee Ballots Requested | 90.49\% |
| \% Absentee Ballots Counted of Absentee Ballots Returned | 97.99\% |
| \% Remaining Challenges of Absentee Ballots Returned | 2.01\% |
| \% Remaining Challenges (Excluding Late) of Absentee Ballots Returned | 0.24\% |
| \% Corrected Challenges of All Challenges | 17.89\% |
| \% Remaining Challenges That Could Have Been Corrected by 11-S But Were Not | 32.56\% |
| \% Challenges Corrected by 11-S (Excluding Late) | 64.68\% |
| \% Late of Absentee Ballots Returned | 1.77\% |
| \% Late of All Remaining Challenges | 88.10\% |

## Absentee Challenges



## PROVISIONAL VOTING SUMMARY

A total of 7,809 Provisional Ballots were cast In-House and on Election Day. Of those ballots, 6,540* (83.75\%) were valid and tabulated by the Ballot Department. There were 1,269 invalid Provisional Ballots resulting in a $16.25 \% * *$ rejection rate for this election. Details of the rejections are below.

* Of the 6,540 valid ballots, 1 multi-page ballot was returned without its first page. The pages that were returned were tabulated, however only first pages are recorded numerically in the tabulating system, resulting in a Provisional Scan of 6,539 ballots recorded.
** The Provisional Ballot Rejection Rate is $6.82 \%$ when Provisional Voters who have already cast an Absentee Ballot and those who were not registered to vote are excluded.

|  | November 3, 2015 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Provisional Ballots - CAST | 7,809 |
| Provisional Ballots - VALID | 6,540 |
| Rejected - Absentee Voters | 1269 |
| Rejected - Not Including Absentee Voters | 20 |
| Rejected - Not Registered | 1249 |
| Rejected - Not Including Not Registered | 770 |
| Rejected - Not Including Not Registered or Absentee Voters | 499 |
| Rejected - Wrong Precinct Wrong Location*** | 479 |
| \% Valid of All Provisional Ballots Cast | 302 |
| \% Rejected of All Provisional Ballots Cast | $83.75 \%$ |
| \% Rejected Not Including Absentee Voters | $16.25 \%$ |
| \% Rejected Not Including Not Registered or Absentee Voters | $16.04 \%$ |
| \% Wrong Precinct Wrong Location of All Provisional Ballots Cast | $6.82 \%$ |
| Correct Location Remakes | $3.87 \%$ |

*** Of those 302 Wrong Precinct Wrong Location ballots, 12 had accompanying 12-D Forms. Of those 12 Forms, 10 were correctly completed by Poll Workers.

| Reason for Rejection | \# Rejected | \% of Total Provisional Rejections |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Not Registered | 770 | $60.68 \%$ |
| Wrong Precinct Wrong Location | 302 | $23.80 \%$ |
| Missing or Incomplete Address | 32 | $2.52 \%$ |
| Non Matching ID | 31 | $2.44 \%$ |
| Non Matching Signature | 22 | $1.73 \%$ |
| Missing Acceptable Identification | 19 | $1.50 \%$ |
| No Signature | 18 | $1.42 \%$ |
| Voted Absentee | 15 | $1.18 \%$ |
| Incorrect Address | 15 | $1.18 \%$ |
| Empty Envelope | 14 | $1.10 \%$ |
| Missing Date of Birth | 14 | $1.10 \%$ |
| Voted in Incorrect County | 11 | $0.87 \%$ |
| Voted Absentee was Challenged | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | $0.39 \%$ |
| No Printed Name | $\mathbf{1}$ | $0.08 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 , 2 6 9}$ |  |
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|  | Official Certification | Amended Certification |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BALLOTS CAST - TOTAL | 323,799 | 323,635 |


| CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/1/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| James H. Hewitt III . . | 14,065 | 27.09 \% | 14,032 | 27.09 \% | 33 |
| Anthony Jordan. | 9,149 | 17.62 \% | 9,121 | 17.61 \% | 28 |
| Suzan Marie Sweeney . . | 24,564 | 47.3 \% | 24,496 | 47.3 \% | 68 |
| Edwin J. Vargas | 4,149 | 7.99 \% | 4,141 | 8 \% | 8 |
| Over Votes. | 111 |  | 111 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes | 12,772 |  | 12,745 |  | 27 |
| CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/2/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lauren C. Moore | 34,677 | 100 \% | 34,591 | 100 \% | 86 |
| Over Votes. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes | 30,133 |  | 30,055 |  | 78 |
| CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/3/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pauline H. Tarver. | 23,572 | 47.1 \% | 23,514 | 47.12 \% | 58 |
| Ed Wade | 26,473 | 52.9 \% | 26,390 | 52.88 \% | 83 |
| Over Votes. | 341 |  | 340 |  | 1 |
| Under Votes | 14,424 |  | 14,402 |  | 22 |
| CLEVE MUNI COURT JUDGE FTC 1/4/2016 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Emanuella Groves . | 33,303 | 100 \% | 33,224 | 100 \% | 79 |
| Over Votes. | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes | 31,507 |  | 31,422 |  | 85 |
| 1 - Proposed Constitutional Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 220,753 | 77.71 \% | 220,655 | 77.72 \% | 98 |
| No. | 63,312 | 22.29 \% | 63,269 | 22.28 \% | 43 |
| Over Votes. | 92 |  | 92 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes . | 39,642 |  | 39,619 |  | 23 |
| 2 - Proposed Constitutional Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 160,513 | 51.27 \% | 160,456 | 51.27 \% | 57 |
| No. | 152,581 | 48.73 \% | 152,477 | 48.73 \% | 104 |
| Over Votes | 465 |  | 465 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes. | 10,240 |  | 10,237 |  | 3 |
| 3 - Proposed Constitutional Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 127,665 | 39.95 \% | 127,574 | 39.94 \% | 91 |
| No. | 191,915 | 60.05 \% | 191,843 | 60.06 \% | 72 |
| Over Votes . . | 198 |  | 198 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes . | 4,058 |  | 4,057 |  | 1 |

Official Certification vs. Amended Certification November 3, 2015 General Election

|  | Official Certification |  | Amended Certification |  | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 - Cuyahoga County Excise Tax on Cigarettes |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 234,093 | 75.21 \% | 233,993 | 75.22 \% | 100 |
| No. | 77,158 | 24.79 \% | 77,101 | 24.78 \% | 57 |
| Over Votes . | 111 |  | 111 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes . | 12,474 |  | 12,467 |  | 7 |
| 9 - Cuyahoga County Proposed Charter Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 202,989 | 74.75 \% | 202,893 | 74.75 \% | 96 |
| No. | 68,584 | 25.25 \% | 68,537 | 25.25 \% | 47 |
| Over Votes | 61 |  | 61 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes | 52,202 |  | 52,181 |  | 21 |
| 20-Cleveland Proposed Charter Amendment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 34,180 | 63.85 \% | 34,096 | 63.85 \% | 84 |
| No. | 19,355 | 36.15 \% | 19,303 | 36.15 \% | 52 |
| Over Votes . | 22 |  | 22 |  | 0 |
| Under Votes | 10,618 |  | 10,590 |  | 28 |

